Orangeburg Consolidated School District Five Technology

3720 Magnolia Street Orangeburg, SC 29118

Grades 9–12 Career Center

Enrollment 839 Students

Director Abbiegail H. Hugine 803–536–4473

Board Chair Dr. Kalu Kalu 803–534–5454

Superintendent

Melvin Smoak 803-534-5454

2006 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

EXCELLENT

Absolute Ratings of Career Centers

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory
29 8 1 0 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

EXCELLENT

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the state rating for career and technology centers must be Excellent, Good, Above Average, Average or Below Average.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD						
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress			
2003	Excellent	Good	Yes			
2004	Excellent	Good	Yes			
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes			
2006	Excellent	Excellent	Yes			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Mastering Core Competencies		Receiving Diplomas			Place in Field			
	This Center		State	This Center		State	This Center		State
	n	%	Center Average%	n	%	Center Average%	n	%	Center Average%
All Students		,,,	7.110.ugo /0		,,	/ Wordgo /o		,,	/ Wordgo /
	780	81.4%	82.9%	171	98.8%	92.6%	243	95.9%	97.5%
Students with disabilities on	diploma	track							
	0	N/A	71.9%	0	N/A	70.2%	0	N/A	97.3%
Gender									
Male	367	77.4%	79.4%	68	97.1%	91.3%	91	93.8%	98.5%
Female	413	85.0%	87.1%	103	100.0%	93.9%	142	97.3%	96.4%
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	45	86.7%	87.9%	9	100.0%	95.5%	24	100.0%	98.5%
African American	715	80.6%	76.5%	158	98.7%	88.7%	208	95.4%	95.6%
Asian/Pacific Islander	18	100.0%	88.0%	4	I/S	88.2%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Hispanic	2	I/S	81.9%	0	N/A	88.9%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	86.5%	0	N/A	88.9%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Migrant Status									
Migrant									
Non-migrant									
English Proficiency	English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	81.6%	0	N/A	90.8%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Non-Limited English Proficient	778	81.4%	82.9%	171	98.8%	92.6%	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	559	80.0%	78.2%	107	98.1%	89.2%	86	90.5%	95.1%
Full-pay meals	221	85.1%	87.5%	64	100.0%	95.1%	147	99.3%	98.2%

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE RATING TERMS

- •Mastering Core Competencies-The percentage of students enrolled in career and technology courses at the center who earn a 2.0 or above on the final course grade.
- Graduation Rate-The percentage of 12th grade career and technology students who graduate in the spring.
- Placement Rate-The percentage of career and technology completers available for placement over a 3-year period who are actually placed in postsecondary instruction, military services, or employment.

SCHOOL PROFILE			
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Median Career Center
Students (n= 839)			
With disabilities other than speech Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0% 20.1%	No change Down from 24.9%	2.2% 18.3%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	839	Down from 886	650
Students participating in worked-based experiences	37.8%	Up from 35.8%	33.7%
Teachers (n= 30)			
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	43.3% N/AV	Up from 40.6%	25.5% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.3%	Up from 9.7%	17.5%
Teachers returning from previous year	94.4%	N/A	90.9%
Teacher attendance rate	93.4%	Up from 92.0%	95.5%
Average teacher salary	\$43,339	Up 1.7%	\$44,019
Prof. development days/teacher	N/R	N/R	13.2 days
School			
Director's years at Center	3.0	Up from 2.0	4.0
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$3,245	Down 35.4%	\$2,769
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	61.4%	Up from 58.1%	52.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	66.8%		65.0%
Parents attending conferences	95.4%	Up from 88.7%	85.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	5.6%	10.2%

REPORT OF DIRECTOR AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

A Red Carpet School winner for 2005 - 2008, The Technology Center continues to provide a level of education that prepares students for college, the military or work by employing a staff of 33 highly qualified teachers (one who is Nationally Board Certified) and a supportive staff. One of our teachers was recognized as the 2005-2006 SkillsUSA South Carolina Teacher of the Year. The center serves over 800 students per day from Bethune-Bowman, North and Orangeburg-Wilkinson High Schools.

The presence of both academics and career and technology (CTE) courses on the same campus has afforded unique opportunities for academic and CTE integration. Continually recognized for our successful integration practices, we added an integration lab, which focuses primarily on increasing students' understanding of the relationship between academics and career and technology education classes. Additionally, the Technology Center is truly committed to the philosophy that No Child Should Be Left Behind. We have instituted a strong Character Education Program and an Advisement Program, which are designed to promote positive self-esteem and to develop interpersonal skills that are needed for success in the workplace.

Students are assured a variety of career opportunities and a safe, clean and nurturing environment. There are support programs to meet the needs of all students such as a work-based experience, co-op, and organizations that promote leadership competitions at the district, regional, state and national levels, advisee-advisor program, dual credit and many others.

The Technology Center has maintained an Excellent Report Card rating for the last three years and is a third-year Palmetto Gold winner. Our students continue to set high academic and technical standards. This is evident in that there were 126 vocational completers for 2004-2005 and numerous awards granted to students in district, state and national competitions. One of our students was named the Orangeburg-Calhoun Educator and Business Alliance Winner for 2005-2006. Many of our students were recognized as outstanding graduates in Career and Technology Education. Several of them received gold, silver and bronze certificates by scoring at or above the national level on the Work Keys Assessment. This is an indication that students in Orangeburg Consolidated School District Five are being prepared for the world of work.

Four of six of our inaugural cosmetology students passed the State Board to become licensed cosmetologists. Plans are being made for 2005-2006 for upgrades of our Automotive and Auto Body Technology Courses to meet industry standards and the growing demands of a global society. The success of The Technology Center is also attributed to the many partners in higher education, business, community agencies and parents.

Abbiegail H. Hugine, Director Nicole Daichendt, School Improvement Council President

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	28	118	24			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.9%	84.6%	66.7%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.9%	83.9%	65.2%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	74.1%	91.2%	76.2%			

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included.